Effect of Geometric Nanostructures on the Absorption Edges of 1-D and 2-D TiO2 Fabricated by Atomic Layer Deposition
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ABSTRACT: 2-Dimensional (2-D) TiO2 thin films and 1-dimensional (1-D) TiO2 nanotube arrays were fabricated on Si and quartz substrates using atomic layer deposition (ALD) with an anodic aluminum oxide (AAO) template at 400 °C. The film thickness and the tube wall thickness can be precisely controlled using the ALD approach. The intensities of the absorption spectra were enhanced by an increase in the thickness of the TiO2 thin film and tube walls. A blue-shift was observed for a decrease in the 1-D and 2-D TiO2 nanostructure thicknesses, indicating a change in the energy band gap with the change in the size of the TiO2 nanostructures. Indirect and direct interband transitions were used to investigate the change in the energy band gap. The results indicate that both quantum confinement and interband transitions should be considered when the sizes of 1-D and 2-D TiO2 nanostructures are less than 10 nm.
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INTRODUCTION

Nanostructured materials, such as quantum dots,1 nanorods,2 nanowires,3 nanotubes,4–6 nanobelts,7 and nanopores8–10 have attracted much interest due to their potential in various applications. From among the many nanostructured materials, TiO2 nanostructures have emerged as one of the most promising materials for optoelectronic devices due to their variety of growth methods, high melting point of 1855 °C, thermal and chemical stability at high temperatures, wide and indirect semiconductor band gap, and high photoconversion efficiency and photostability.11–24 As reported in the literature,25–30 a blue-shift phenomenon was observed for the absorption edge with decreasing TiO2 nanoparticle size. Depending on the fabrication process, the onset of the blue-shift for TiO2 nanoparticles is exhibited for a diameter of approximately 1–10 nm.26,31–34 This phenomenon is not yet clearly understood. Some researchers have proposed that the blue-shift was due to the quantum size effect,31 also called quantum confinement, and some proposed that the shift was due to direct interband transitions.35,29 According to the theory based on quantum confinement, when the size of the nanoparticles approaches the Bohr radius, the continuous bands break down and the energy levels become discrete, resulting in a higher energy band gap and in the blue-shift phenomenon. The two factors that can influence the Bohr radius include the dielectric constant and the effective mass of electrons and holes. These values change for different fabrication conditions and measuring devices, especially the effective mass of electrons and holes on the nanoscale. Therefore, the Bohr radius is different for different nanostructures. Generally, regardless of the variation in the dielectric constant and in the effective mass of electrons and holes, the Bohr radius of TiO2 is from 1 to 10 nm.26,31–34 According to the theory based on direct interband transitions, Serpone et al.36 proposed that the low-energy indirect interband transition might be replaced by a direct interband transition, depending on the particle size and on the surface environment. Although the blue-shift phenomenon is still under investigation, it is well-known that the optical absorption characteristics can be altered by modifying the geometry of the nanoparticles, such as the thickness of the films or of the nanotube walls. Although the quantum size effect and direct interband transitions were proposed as reasons for the blue-shift in the absorption edge, only a few researchers have investigated this shift using these two theories for both 2-dimensional (2-D) and 1-dimensional (2-D) nanostructures. Therefore, in this study, we prepared 2-D TiO2 thin films and 1-D TiO2 nanotube arrays using an anodic aluminum oxide (AAO) template and atomic layer deposition (ALD) technology at 400 °C to investigate the relation between the absorption edge and the energy band gap. The effects of the geometric nanostructures on the absorption edge and the mechanism of the indirect and direct interband transitions are discussed.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

First, AAO was prepared on Si and quartz substrates. A 1 μm Al film, which served as the AAO layer, was deposited onto the substrates using a thermal evaporation coater according to a fabrication
procedure from a previous study. The substrates with AAO were then placed in a quartz tube reactor that was maintained at $1.6 \times 10^{-1}$ Torr and at 400 °C. TiCl$_4$ and H$_2$O$_(g)$ (deionized water) precursors, which were separately kept in canisters at 30 ± 1 and 25 ± 1 °C, were used as Ti and O sources. Pure Ar gas (99.999%) was used as a carrier gas and as a purge gas. Each deposition cycle consisted of the following eight steps: TiCl$_4$ reactant addition, pump down, Ar purge, pump down, H$_2$O reactant addition, pump down, Ar purge, and pump down. The typical pulse time used to introduce the TiCl$_4$ and H$_2$O precursors was 1 s, and the Ar purge time was also 1 s. To efficiently remove any residual reactants and byproducts, a 1 s pump down step was added after each step. Deposition cycles with 50, 100, 200, 300, and 700 cycles were chosen to produce various thicknesses of the TiO$_2$ nanostructures.

The nanostructures of the TiO$_2$ materials were examined using a field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM, JSM-6500F) and a transmission electron microscope (TEM, JEM-2100F). X-ray diffraction (XRD) was adopted to analyze the structure of the TiO$_2$ films and nanotubes. A UV–vis spectrometer (U-3500, Hitachi) was utilized to measure the absorption characteristic of the TiO$_2$ thin films and nanotubes on quartz substrates.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Figure 1 shows the FESEM images of the TiO$_2$ thin films for 50, 100, 200, 300, and 700 deposition cycles. The surfaces of the thin films consisted of crystalline structures, and the grain sizes increased with increasing film thickness. When the number of deposition cycles was small, the sizes of the nanoparticles were uniform, as shown in Figure 1a–d. However, as the number of deposition cycles increased, the nanoparticle size became nonuniform, as shown in Figure 1e. As measured from the TEM cross sections of the TiO$_2$ thin films, not shown here, the thicknesses of the thin films were 6.6, 8.1, 12, 18.2, and 41.1 nm. The average growth rate is 0.054 nm/cycle by fitting thin film thicknesses from 50 to 700 cycles.

Figure 2 presents the film thickness as a function of deposition cycle. The fitting curve was also plotted in the figure. The fitting curves did not pass through 0 nm at 0 cycles. This means that the initial deposition rate during the first few cycles is faster than that during the later growth. This may be attributed to the precursors possibly having better adsorption on the SiO$_2$ and AAO surfaces. Once the surfaces were covered by crystalline TiO$_2$, the adsorption of the precursors may become slightly worse. Therefore, the growth rate in the very initial stages was faster than that in later stages.

Figure 3a–e shows the TEM cross sections of the TiO$_2$ nanotubes on an AAO template for 50, 100, 200, 300, and 700 deposition cycles. Using the cross-sectional TEM images, the tube wall thicknesses were measured to be 3.0, 5.3, 8.5, 15.2, and 30.7 nm for 50, 100, 200, 300, and 700 deposition cycles, respectively. The calculated growth rate is 0.043 nm/cycle by fitting tube wall thicknesses from 50 to 300 cycles, as shown in Figure 2. The TEM images show that ALD can be used to precisely control the TiO$_2$ tube wall thickness by changing the number of deposition cycles. Figure 3a shows the TEM image of TiO$_2$ deposited separately on a SiO$_2$ native oxide and on AAO tube walls after 50 deposition cycles. The TiO$_2$ layer appeared to be thicker on the native oxide than on the AAO tube walls. We also observed that the TiO$_2$ deposited on the native oxide exhibited a crystalline structure, while the TiO$_2$ deposited on the AAO tube walls did not. This result might indicate that the precursors, TiCl$_4$ and H$_2$O$_(g)$, had high enough energies to overcome the barrier height when they were deposited on the native oxide, thus forming crystalline structures and thicker films after the reaction at 400 °C.
3f,g shows the high resolution TEM images of the dotted circular area A and B in Figure 3a, respectively. From Figure 3f, a crystalline TiO2 nanostructure that grew on the surface of the native oxide was clearly observed and its size is about 3.3 nm in thickness. On the other hand, the amorphous structure of the TiO2 on the AAO was confirmed in Figure 3g. Furthermore, the XRD patterns in Figure 4a,b show the evolution of nanostructures for the thin films and the nanotubes at different deposition cycles, respectively. On the basis of the XRD patterns, the TiO2 thin films and nanotubes are both identified as an anatase structure. No peaks below 100 cycles could be attributed to the crystalline films being too thin to be detected by XRD. A detailed characterization of TiO2 thin films and of TiO2 nanotube arrays was described in an earlier report.16,36

Figure 5 shows the absorption spectra of the TiO2 thin films and nanotube arrays. The TiO2 thin films and the TiO2 nanotube arrays are transparent in the visible wavelength region, as shown in Figure 5a,b. The onset of the absorbance behavior occurs at wavelengths from 350 to 380 nm, except for the 700 cycle deposition due to a broader onset range. This broad onset range was also observed by Serponen et al. and by Wang et al.34  Serpone suggested that this phenomenon could be attributed to the formation of colloidal TiO2 in a complex process. However, Wang proposed that the difference in absorption wavelength indicates a difference in the band gap of TiO2 with increasing loading of the inorganic phase. The absorbability increased with increasing film and tube wall thicknesses. Additionally, a blue-shift in the absorption edge25,30 was observed for thinner films and thinner tube walls, especially for 1-D nanotube arrays. According to the literature, this phenomenon is attributed to the continuous bands breaking down and to the energy levels becoming discrete, becoming a quantum state, when a material is on the nanoscale. Therefore, a blue-shift in the absorption edge was more obvious for 1-D nanotubes than for 2-D thin films, as shown in Figure 5a,b.

An absorption spectrum represents the energy required for electrons to be excited from the valence band to the conduction band. Therefore, the band gap energy, $E_g$, can be determined from the absorption coefficient near the absorption edge. The equation for indirect interband transitions is as follows:26,27

$$\alpha = B(\hbar \nu - E_g)^2 / \hbar \nu$$  

(1)
where $\alpha$ is the optical absorption coefficient near the absorption edge, $B_i$ is the absorption constant for an indirect transition, and $hv$ is the incident photon energy. Figure 6a, b shows the plots of $(\alpha hv)^{1/2}$ versus the photon energy $(hv)$ for indirect interband transitions in TiO$_2$ thin films and nanotubes. From the spectra, we obtain linear fit intercepts of 3.35, 3.35, 3.19, 3.19, and 3.19 eV for TiO$_2$ thin film and of 3.47, 3.43, 3.42, 3.42, and 3.32 eV for TiO$_2$ nanotubes for 50, 100, 200, 300, and 700 deposition cycles. Figure 6c shows the band gap energies corresponding to different TiO$_2$ thin film and nanotube wall thicknesses for different deposition cycles. As mentioned earlier, according to the theory of quantum confinement, when a material approaches the size of its Bohr radius, the energy levels become discrete, and the band gap energy increases. The Bohr radius$^{26}$ can be determined from:

$$a_0 = \frac{4\pi\varepsilon_0 \hbar^2}{\mu e^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\varepsilon_0$ is the dielectric constant ($\approx 30$–$185$), $\varepsilon_0$ is the permittivity of free space, $\mu = \frac{1}{m^*_e} + \frac{1}{m^*_h}$ is the effective mass of electrons and holes, and $e$ is the charge of an electron. $^{39,40}$ The $m^*_e$ value ranges from 5 to 30 $m_0$, and the $m^*_h$ value ranges from 0.01 to 3.0 $m_0$. $^{39,41}$ The dielectric constant and the effective mass of electrons and holes are the two most important factors that can influence the Bohr radius. These two factors are highly variable due to fabrication conditions and to the nanoscale size. To simplify the issue, the variations in the dielectric constant and in the effective mass of the electrons and holes are disregarded. Therefore, the Bohr radius of TiO$_2$ should be between 1 and 10 nm.$^{26,31–34}$

As shown in Figure 6c, the band gap energy increases when the thicknesses of the TiO$_2$ thin films and of the TiO$_2$ nanotubes are less than 10 nm. This phenomenon appears to be due to quantum confinement. However, a change in the band gap energy is also observed when the thickness of the TiO$_2$ nanotubes is larger than 10 nm. When the radius of a particle is equal to or smaller than the Bohr radius, the quantum confinement effect increases the band gap energy. The increase in the energy can be expressed as: $^{26,28}

$$\Delta E_g = \frac{\hbar^2}{8\mu R^2} - \frac{1.8e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon R}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $R$ is the radius of the particle. Figure 7 shows the plot of $\Delta E_g$ obtained from eq 3 and from the experimental results, vs the film or tube wall thicknesses. The theoretical values of $\Delta E_g$ are obtained using $\mu = 0.05$ and $\varepsilon = 75$, as reported by King et al.$^{26}$ The experimental values of $\Delta E_g$ are obtained by deducting the bulk material $E_g$ value of 3.19 eV from the $E_g$ values.
determined above. As shown in Figure 7a,b, the theoretical and experimental values are similar for the TiO$_2$ thin films but are not similar for the TiO$_2$ nanotubes. This result indicates that, when the thickness of the thin films is in the range of 6.6 to 12 nm, the change in the band gap energy can be explained by quantum confinement. Although the band gap energy of the nanotubes still increases with decreasing wall thickness, as shown in Figure 6c, this increase is not as drastic as that from the theory when the wall thickness is less than 10 nm. It was expected that the 1-D nanotubes would be closer to a quantum state than the 2-D thin films. Therefore, it is hard to completely explain the change in the band gap energy of 1-D TiO$_2$ nanotubes using the quantum size effect.

We analyze the band gap energy based on the theory of direct interband transitions using the absorption spectra shown in Figure 5. The equation for the absorption coefficient is as follows:

$$\alpha = B_d(hv - E_{g})^{1/2} / hv \quad (4)$$

where $\alpha$ is the optical absorption coefficient near the absorption edge and $B_d$ is the absorption constant for a direct transition. Figure 8a shows a plot of $(\alpha hv)^2$ versus the photon energy $(hv)$ for the direct interband transitions of the TiO$_2$ thin film. The linear fit intercepts are 4.07, 3.93, 3.64, and 3.64 eV for 50, 100, 200, and 300 deposition cycles, respectively. For the 700 cycle TiO$_2$ thin film, there are two linear fit intercepts at 3.63 and 3.88 eV. Two intercepts were reported by Serpone et al.\textsuperscript{26} at 2.97 and 3.21 eV for colloidal anatase TiO$_2$ particles under a similar situation. As shown in Figure 8b, the linear fit intercepts for 50, 100, 200, 300, and 700 cycle TiO$_2$ nanotubes were estimated as 4.03, 4.01, 3.75, 3.72, and 3.64 eV, respectively. Figure 8c shows the plot of the band gap energy vs the TiO$_2$ thin film and nanotube wall thicknesses for different deposition cycles. Because the onset of the absorption edge is determined by the lowest direct interband transition, there must be a corresponding energy level for the transitions of the excited electrons. On the basis of the energy level diagram,\textsuperscript{25,42} $X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ and $\Gamma_5' \rightarrow \Gamma_1$ belong to direct interband transitions with band gap energies of 3.59 and 4.05 eV, respectively. The corresponding values of $X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ are 3.64, 3.64, and 3.63 eV for the TiO$_2$ thin film and 3.75, 3.72, and 3.64 eV for the TiO$_2$ nanotubes for 200, 300, and 700 deposition cycles. The corresponding values of $\Gamma_5' \rightarrow \Gamma_1$ are 4.07 and 3.93 eV for the TiO$_2$ thin film and 4.03 and 4.01 eV for the TiO$_2$ nanotubes for the 50 and 100 deposition cycles. Although the corresponding
energy levels of $X_\text{h} \rightarrow X_\text{e}$ and $\Gamma_5 \rightarrow \Gamma_1$ can be determined (especially for nanotubes less than 10 nm in diameter), different transition levels are not expected for the onset of the absorption edge. Furthermore, it was noticed that the band gap energy increased with decreasing thickness when the thickness of films or of the nanotubes was less than 10 nm. Therefore, the shift in the absorption edge and the increase in the band gap energy cannot be completely explained by the theory of direct interband transitions either. Additionally, on the basis of our experimental results, shown in Figure 6c, the 1-D tubular nanostructures have a higher band gap energy than the 2-D planar nanostructures. This result indicates that the variations in the geometric nanostructures of the 1-D and 2-D materials induce the separation of the band, resulting in the blue-shift of the absorption edges. In summary, it appears that no single theory, either quantum confinement or interband transitions, can be used to explain the change in the band gap energy, especially for TiO$_2$ nanotubes. Both interband transitions and quantum confinement should be taken into account to explain these findings.

**CONCLUSION**

We have successfully deposited TiO$_2$ thin films and TiO$_2$ nanotubes using ALD technology with an AAO template on Si and quartz substrates at 400 °C. The intensities of the absorption spectra of the TiO$_2$ thin film and the TiO$_2$ nanotubes are enhanced by an increase in the film and tube wall thicknesses. According to the analysis of indirect interband transitions, the absorption edges of the TiO$_2$ thin film for 50, 100, 200, 300, and 700 deposition cycles are 3.35, 3.35, 3.19, and 3.19 eV, respectively. The absorption edges of the TiO$_2$ nanotubes for 50, 100, 200, 300, and 700 deposition cycles are 3.47, 3.43, 3.42, and 3.32 eV, respectively. From the results of the $\Delta E_g$ analysis, the variations in the absorption edges of the TiO$_2$ thin film could be explained by quantum confinement. However, quantum confinement could not be used to explain the changes in the band gap energy of the TiO$_2$ nanotubes. According to the analysis of direct interband transitions, the absorption edges of the TiO$_2$ thin film and the TiO$_2$ nanotubes for 200, 300, and 700 cycles are, respectively, 3.64, 3.64, and 3.63 eV and 3.75, 3.72, and 3.64 eV, which could be attributed to $X_\text{h} \rightarrow X_\text{e}$ at 3.59 eV. Moreover, the absorption edges of the TiO$_2$ nanotube for 50 and 100 deposition cycles are, respectively, 4.07 and 3.93 eV and 4.03 and 4.01 eV, which could be attributed to $\Gamma_5 \rightarrow \Gamma_1$ at 4.05 eV. However, the absorption edges increase when the film or wall thickness decreases. Therefore, in addition to considering interband transitions, quantum confinement should be included in the analysis of the absorption edges to understand the changes in the band gap energies of both TiO$_2$ thin films and nanotubes.
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